

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

PHILIPPE LAURENT,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., et al.,

Defendant(s).

2:14-CV-863 JCM (GWF)

ORDER

Presently before the court is plaintiff Philippe Laurent's motion for an extension of time. (Doc. # 15). Plaintiff requests an extension in which to respond to defendant MTC Financial's motion to dismiss (doc. # 6).

Plaintiff, appearing *pro se*, represents that he is currently out of the country and is unable to prepare an opposition by the current deadline. As the court is obligated to hold *pro se* litigants to less stringent standards, the court will grant plaintiff's request for an extension. *See, e.g., Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519 (1972) (*Pro se* parties are to be held to less stringent standards than those represented by counsel).

...

...

...

...

...


1 Accordingly,

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that plaintiff's motion for an
3 extension of time (doc. # 15) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED. Plaintiff's opposition is due
4 no later than **August 1, 2014**.

5 DATED July 15, 2014.

6

7


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28